Dear All,

Yesterday we had a discussion with Nina, Tobias and Luchesar regarding some issues with authentication and authorization that need to be solved before proceeding to further implementation. Till now it has been possible to have authentication of a client using tokens but it remains ambiguous who creates the policies and how. So summarizing yesterday's discussion, we have to confront with the following use case scenarios:

- 1. **Override default policies:** When a client creates a resource should be able to specify a policy for it passing some parameters to the corresponding service. This can be done for simplicity using POST parameters like "policy=public" or "allow_users_get=john,nick", "allow_users_post=nick" or "allow_groups_get=development,partner" etc. Of course the client should be able to specify a policy providing an XML document for it. To avoid passing the policy as a form parameter (in application/x-form-urlencoded) a Header parameter can be used instead (e.g. Policy = "Policy: <XML for policy>".
- 2. **Default Policy for Model Training:** This is a relatively simple case since model creation does not reveal any information about the training dataset apart from the URI of it. The default policy is not therefore affected by the policy of the training dataset and is solely specified by the algorithm service. This is probably to be specified by the service provider though it would be better to have an agreement on what privileges an algorithm should provide and to whom.



A reasonable default policy for model creation, can be that only the creator can

3. **Default Policy for Prediction and Descriptor Calculation:** A user with certain privileges defined through its policies (on his own resources) and other users' policies, creates a model using a dataset to which it has access (passing his token to the dataset service). The algorithm itself has also a policy that might allow the user to invoke the training service but implies nothing about whether the created dataset (containing calculated descriptors) is allowed to be published [Cases of MOPAC and 'Dragon Descriptors' algorithms]. So there is an ambiguity here regarding the policy of the published dataset (Which individuals and/or groups have access privileges). Using the 'Policy' Header parameter, the algorithm service can pass a policy XML to the dataset service and then the latter decides the final policy for it taking into account it's own restrictions and the restrictions imposed by that policy. Note that this policy, passed from one service to the other is not a policy that is directly posted to the SSO policy service and that the URI of the resource that will be created is not yet know to the intermediate services.



Note that the client can always override the default policy and specify a custom one. That would be passed to the service that is supposed to create the resource (Hint: if it's not you the policy creator pass it to all other services you invoke).

4. **Publicly available data:** Data that are publicly available were considered till now to belong to a user called 'guest' and has the least access privileges to the overall system. However this makes it difficult to inherit these privileges to other users and especially not guests. So we suggest the creation of a universal group to which all users (including any kind of guests or anonymous users) belong. A resource will be said to be publicly available if it provides access to that group. Also, policies created for publicly available data should not allow PUT (modification of resources) nor DELETE and there will be no warranty for their persistence.

It's not yet clear how a resource can be made available to the intersection of two or more groups. If one creates a set of different policies for the same resource with different policy subjects, does the overall policy suggests that the resource is available only to the individuals in the intersection of these subjects?

The bad news is that Andreas has to implement a new web service allowing for the creation of template policies accepting as input a set of policies and an authentication token. The good news however is that we found a fancy name for it: **Policy Fusion Service!** So, you have a motivation ;-)

We should starting working on these issues, discuss them on the mailing list and take some decisions on Monday's meeting in order to proceed with the implementation of A&A and include some protected data in OpenTox too.

Best Regards, Pantelis